1

2

3

45

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

151617

18

1920

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

US BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION AS TRUSTEE FOR JPM ALT 2006-A1,

Plaintiff,

No. C 08-3822 CW

ORDER REMANDING CASE TO STATE COURT

v.

DANILO IGTANLOC,

Defendant,

Defendant Danilo Igtanloc removed this case to federal court. In his notice of removal, Defendant alleges that this Court has both diversity jurisdiction and federal question jurisdiction over this case. Plaintiff filed this unlawful detainer action based on Defendant's refusal to vacate after his home was foreclosed upon and sold to Plaintiff pursuant to California Civil Code § 2294.

Defendant alleges that the Court has diversity jurisdiction because Plaintiff is a corporation with its principal place of business in Minnesota and he is a citizen of California. Defendant further argues that the amount in controversy exceeds \$500,000, the value of the property. However, this unlawful detainer action does not concern the actual transfer of Defendant's property to Plaintiff. Rather, as Plaintiff alleges in its complaint, the amount in controversy is based on the reasonable rental value of the property.

Defendant also alleges that this Court has federal question

Juited States District Court	r the Northern District of California
ed S	lorth
Unit	the N
	Ţ

jurisdiction based on his assertion that the foreclosure violated	
his rights under the United States Constitution and various federal	
statutes. As stated above, this case does not concern the	
underlying foreclosure. Moreover, even if Defendant were able to	
challenge the fairness of the foreclosure in this action, federal	
question jurisdiction would not exist. Federal question	
jurisdiction arises only from the face of a well-pleaded complaint,	
not the defenses or counterclaims alleged by Defendant. <u>Takeda v.</u>	
Northwestern Nat'l Life Ins. Co., 765 F.2d 815, 821-22 (9th Cir.	
1985).	

For the foregoing reasons, the Court REMANDS this case to the Contra Costa County Superior Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 8/12/08

Claudistvillen

CLAUDIA WILKEN United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3 US BANK,

Case Number: CV08-03822 CW

Plaintiff,

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

v. 6

1

2

4

5

7

8

DANILO IGTANLOC et al,

Defendant.

9 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California. 10

That on August 12, 2008, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

13 14

11

12

Danilo Igtanloc 15 2370 Tamalpais Ave. 16

Brentwood, CA 94513

Earl Robert Wallace 17 Ruzicka & Wallace LLP 16520 Bake Parkway 18 Suite 280 Irvine, CA 92618 19

Dated: August 12, 2008 20

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: Sheilah Cahill, Deputy Clerk 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28